UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,907	11/21/2003	Jong Ho Kim	9988.080.00-US	7353
30827 7590 02/13/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, RITA RAMESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		· (_ ′				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,907	KIM, JONG HO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rita R. Patel	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		:				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.	:					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
		ā .				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		: Edward				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	* ' '					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 25 H C C C 440/a	. (4) ~ (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	s have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	•				
application from the International Bureau	·	:				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	; (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application				
4	-/					

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Applicant's Arguments / Amendments

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 11/13/06. Claims 8-14 have been added. Claims 1-14 are pending. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Thus, claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are finally rejected for the reasons of record.

Applicant contest that neither the Kenjo nor Kwon references teach all the features of claim 1, specifically, "a method for controlling a washing machine having a variable speed tub and a variable speed pulsator" including "rotating the pulsator at a first predetermined speed during said water supplying step". In support of this argument, applicant states that Kenjo teaches opening a water valve to supply water to the water tub, and when the water reaches an appropriate level the water valve is closed and then the motor drives the basket (col. 4, lines 40-48; col. 7, lines 50-57) and therefore applicant claims that Kenjo does not teach "rotating the pulsator at a first predetermined speed during said water supplying step". However this is untrue, if purveyed under closer scrutiny one can see that Kenjo clearly teaches an embodiment of rotating the pulsator at a speed during a water supplying step. As taught in exemplary embodiment 4 of Kenjo, the clothes are put into basket 2, and then a start switch disposed on operation panel 30 drives motor 5 for a given time via motor driver 31 based on an instruction of controller 29. The operation data of motor 5 during this

Art Unit: 1746

given time is detected by rotor positioning detection 34, and transmitted to clothes-load determiner 35. Determiner 35 determines load data of the clothes and inputs it to controller 29. Controller 29 compares an input signal from determiner 35 with the data stored in storage device 33 to determine which step, i.e., the first or second step, is desirably taken, and then determines a water level and a spin speed. Controller 29 instructs power switch 32 to open water valve 8, which supplies water up to the determined level, and then controller 29 instructs motor driver 31 to drive motor 5 intermittently at the determined spin speed (col. 7, lines 42-57). It is noted that Kenjo clearly delineates these first and second steps, namely the second step teaches the following: the spinning basket 2 allows cleansing water to form a waterfall 24 and spray over clothes 25 from the location of the water guard 23, and since basket 2 spins. centrifugal force allows the cleansing water to run through clothes 25, thereby cleansing them (col. 7, lines 30-35). Furthermore, Kenjo clearly discloses that the first and second steps can be combined so that various amount of clothes can be cleansed with the appropriate amount of water for the respective amounts of clothes (col. 7, lines 58-61). Henceforth, Kenjo supports the concept of operating the pulsator at a speed simultaneous to providing water to the tub. This elaboration of Kenjo reads on applicant's claim 1, specifically, when Kenjo "determines a water level" reads on applicant's claim for "setting a water level"; Kenjo's "waterfall 24" from the "water guard 23...allows cleansing water to run through the clothes thereby cleansing them" reads on applicant's claim for "supplying water to the tub according to said water level setting step"; and Kenjo's "controller 29 instructs motor driver 31 to drive motor 5 intermittently

Art Unit: 1746

at the determined spin speed" reads on "rotating the pulsator at a first predetermined speed during said water supplying step". Kenjo teaches "the second step" to embody the functions of spinning the basket and simultaneously supplying water to the tub therein as required by claim 1 of applicant's invention.

Accordingly, the Office maintains its rejection over dependent claims 2 and 4-7 for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenjo et al. herein referred to as "Kenjo" and further in view of Kwon (Pub. No. US 2001/0027579 A1).

Kenjo teaches a washing machine with a rotatable water-tub basket therein.

Kenjo discloses the washing machine includes a water tub; a washing basket; a pulsator disposed rotatably on a bottom face of said washing basket; a driving mechanism for driving said washing basket and pulsator; and a control device for controlling said driving machine, wherein the control device performs the following three steps: (f-1) spinning said washing basket and carrying centrifugal force working on

Art Unit: 1746

cleansing water in said basket for cleansing articles to be cleansed; (f-2) spinning said washing basket, and spraying cleansing water from between said water guard and said basket into said basket for cleansing articles to be cleansed, and (f-3) spinning said pulsator for cleansing articles to be cleansed. Said control device is so structured to perform one of selecting one of said three steps and combining at least two steps out of said three steps for cleansing articles to be cleansed (col. 10, lines 27-52). Thus, Kenjo's invention provides a motivation for operating the pulsator in combination with the washing basket to achieve desired cleaning, by selection of the appropriate cleaning combination. Kenjo however, fails to teach specific operating speeds for said pulsator within the washing machine.

Kwon teaches a method of rinsing laundries in a washing machine wherein a predetermined amount of water is primarily fed to the washing tub 20 while constantly rotating the inner tub 23 at an initial speed S1 of no higher than a predetermined rpm, with the amount of water being predetermined. Then a circulation-rinsing step is performed a desired number of times, whereby the inner tub is rotated at a second speed, with the water repeatedly circulated from the washing tub to a nozzle provided at the upper portion of the washing tub through a water circulation hose, and sprayed under pressure from the nozzle into the washing tub. After the circulation-rinsing step S2, the water is drained from the washing tub to the outside of the cabinet through a drain hose prior to rotating the inner tub at a third speed S3 of no lower than the second speed so as to dewater the rinse laundries (Abstract).

Art Unit: 1746

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Kwon's teaching of variable washing speeds, in combination with Kenjo's teaching of using a pulsator in combination with other washing functions of a washing machine, to achieve desired washing. When washing articles in a washing machine, the selection of the washing, spinning, and pulsating functions may be optimized in order to best cleanse the specific type of laundry being washed therein. Specifically, rotation speeds of the pulsator and washing tub are significant in washing articles therein, so that the articles are not washed with too little or too much agitation; too little agitation may result in insufficient cleaning, whereas too much agitation may tear fabrics therein or cause the apparatus to wastefully use energy for operation and/or tear articles therein being washed. Both washing tubs and pulsators are located within a washing apparatus and are known in the art to directly effect washing functions that occur within the washing apparatus, and optimizing the speeds of both such components is known in the art for achieving similar washing functions.

Thus, Kenjo's teaching of a pulsator operation further in view of Kwon's teaching of an initial speed S1 reads on applicant's claim for a first speed for the pulsator; Kenjo further in view of Kwon's teaching of a repeatable circulation-rinsing step S2 reads on applicant's claim for a second and third speed for the pulsator; and Kenjo further in view of Kwon's teaching of a third dewatering speed S3 reads on applicant's claim for a fourth speed of the pulsator.

Step S1 rotates at a speed of no higher than 50 rpm, step S2 rotates at a speed of 50-300 rpm, and step S3 rotates at a speed of no lower than 400 rpm (Paragraph

Art Unit: 1746

[0036]). This reads on applicant's claim wherein the third predetermined speed is greater than the first. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention may at once envisage during the circulation-rinsing step S2 and the dewatering step S3 of Kwon, the articles being washed therein are rotated at a speed sufficient to push the water against the inner perforated walls of the tub and similarly push the laundry against the inner tub walls; rinsing steps and dewatering steps require removal of water from the articles within such a washing apparatus and are commonly performed in the art in this manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 3 incorporates the feature of a second speed of rotation within a washing machine that is operated at a lower speed than the first speed of rotation. Kenjo nor Kwon disclose motivation to perform this feature, Kwon solely teaches an initial speed of rotation occurring at no higher than 50 rpm and a second speed of 50-300 rpm.

Claims 8-14 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,907 Page 8

Art Unit: 1746

Independent claim 8 includes the feature of a second speed of rotation within a washing machine that is operated at a slower speed than the first speed of rotation; the prior art references fail to teach said claim limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ruhl et al. (Pub. No. US 2003/0056300 A1) teaches a pumping cycling control system for a washing machine, wherein a water sensor 185 and speed sensor 187 are couple to a CPU for controlling drive cycles and rotation speeds within the washing apparatus.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita R. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-8701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rita R. Patel

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER